arXiv:1603.04735v1 [math.PR] 15 Mar 2016

CONVERGENCE RATE FOR THE HEDGING ERROR OF A PATH-DEPENDENT EXAMPLE

DARIO GASBARRA AND ANNI LAITINEN

ABSTRACT. We consider a Brownian functional $F = g\big(\int_0^T \eta(s)dW_s\big)$ with $g \in L_2(\gamma)$ and a singular deterministic η. We deduce the L_2-convergence rate for the approximation $F^{(n)} = EF + \int_0^T \phi^{(n)}(s)dW_s$ for a class of piecewise constant predictable integrands $\phi^{(n)}$ from the fractional smoothness of g quantified by Besov spaces and the rate of singularity of η.

Keywords: Malliavin calculus, chaos decomposition, fractional smoothness, Besov space, approximation error

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the filtration generated by W and augmented by the $\mathbb{P}$-null sets. Suppose we have a square-integrable random variable $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. With a suitable choice of a process $(\phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$, we can represent F as

$$F = EF + \int_0^T \phi_t dW_t,$$

provided that our F is $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable. This would correspond to the perfect hedging of the option F, where W is the price process of the underlying, $T > 0$ the time horizon, and ϕ the trading strategy. Using only finitely many trading times $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = T$, denoted by $\tau_n = (t_i)_{i=0}^n$, means we get an error

$$C(F, \tau_n, \nu) := \int_0^T \phi_t dW_t - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_{i-1} \left(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}\right),$$

where ν_{i-1} is measurable w.r.t. $\mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}$, that is, $\nu = (\nu_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ is our new hedging strategy, constant between the trading times.
The behaviour of this error as the number of trading times tends to infinity, depending on the structure of F, has been a topic of intensive research in recent years.

Date: March 16, 2016.

For $F = f(W_T)$, that is, in the case of European options, where the pay-off depends only on the stock price at the terminal time, the problem is fairly well understood. The convergence in L_2 was considered in [16], [11], [5], [3] and [8], also for non-equidistant time-nets τ and more general price processes than W, and convergence w.r.t. the BMO norm in [6], employing the L_2 techniques as well. The convergence with respect to a stronger L_p norm for $p > 2$ was investigated in [10]; here, missing orthogonality required fundamentally different methods. For weak convergence, see e.g. [11], [9], [12] and [2], to name a few. The key concept to study the error behaviour is the fractional smoothness of f, which links the problem to functional analysis and interpolation theory. See [7] and the references therein for a more complete overview. Now, one would like to understand the behaviour of the error

$$C(F, \tau_n, \nu) = \int_0^T \phi_t dW_t - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_{i-1} \left(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}} \right)$$

when F is a square-integrable random variable, not necessarily of the form $F = f(W_T)$. A similar question has been considered in [4]; there, the case when the terminal condition F depends only on finitely many increments of the price process was investigated in a sightly different setting with backward stochastic differential equations. For a fully path dependent F, the question seems to be open.
This work contributes to this aim by an example of the form

$$F = g\left(\int_0^T \eta(t) dW_t \right), \tag{1}$$

where η has a singularity $(T-t)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ at the end.

2. Preliminaries and notation

We use the notations L_2 for square integrable random variables and $D^{1,2} \subset L_2$ for random variables in L_2 with square integrable Malliavin derivatives; for Malliavin calculus and general theory on Brownian motion, we refer to [13] and [14]. The notations $L_2(\gamma)$ and $D^{1,2}(\gamma)$ are used respectively for functions on the real line, with γ denoting the standard Gaussian measure.
For fractional smoothness, we use the index $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and the Besov spaces

$$B^\theta_{2,q}(\gamma) = \left(L_2(\gamma), D^{1,2}(\gamma) \right)_{\theta,q}.$$

These are intermediate spaces between $L_2(\gamma)$ and $D^{1,2}(\gamma)$, obtained by the real interpolation (see e.g. [1]), with the interpolation parameters

$0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. These spaces have a lexicographical order:

$$B^{\theta_2}_{2,q_1}(\gamma) \subset B^{\theta_1}_{2,q_2}(\gamma)$$

for any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 1$ and any $1 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$, and

$$B^{\theta}_{2,q_1}(\gamma) \subset B^{\theta}_{2,q_2}(\gamma)$$

for any $0 < \theta < 1$ and any $1 \leq q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$. Computing θ is elementary for many functions, see [15] or [10, Example 2.3] for the standard examples.

Finally, if $F \in D^{1,2}$, we use the notation ${}^pDF$ for the predictable projection of the Malliavin derivative DF, and the same notation for the process obtained by extending the operator from $D^{1,2}$ to L_2 (see Section 5 for details). Then, for $0 < t < T$, we have

$$E(F|\mathcal{F}_b) - E(F|\mathcal{F}_a) = \int_a^b ({}^pDF)_t dW_t$$

for $0 \leq a < b \leq T$.

3. Results

For $T > 0$ and $\beta \in (0,1)$, we define the function $\eta\colon [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\eta(t) = (T-t)^{(\beta-1)/2}\, T^{-\beta/2}\sqrt{\beta}.$$

We observe that η has a singularity at $t = T$, and $\| \eta \|_{L_2([0,T])} = 1$. We will consider the random variable

$$F := g\left(\int_0^T \eta(t) dW_t\right)$$

with $g \in L_2(\gamma)$. Notice that we do not assume continuity of g.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $t_i := \frac{i}{n}T$. If $g \in B^{\theta}_{2,2}(\gamma)$, then*

$$\left\| F - EF - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i \left(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}\right) \right\|_{L_2} \leq c_2 n^{-\frac{\beta\theta}{2}},$$

where $\nu_i = (t_i - t_{i-1})^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} E\left(({}^pDF)_s | \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}\right) ds$.

The proof is based on the following observation:

Lemma 3.2. *For $0 \leq a < b \leq T$, let*

$$\Delta(a,b) := E(F|\mathcal{F}_b) - E(F|\mathcal{F}_a) - \frac{W_b - W_a}{b-a} \int_a^b E\big(({}^pDF)_s \big| \mathcal{F}_a\big) ds.$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\big(\Delta(a,b)^2\big)\\
&= E\bigg(\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\int_a^b\int_a^b \big\{E\big(({}^pDF)_t\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big) - E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}^2 ds\, dt\bigg)\\
&\quad + E\bigg(\int_a^b \big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_t\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}^2 dt\bigg).
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By a stochastic Fubini theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(a,b) &= \int_a^b ({}^pDF)_t dW_t - \frac{W_b - W_a}{b-a}\int_a^b E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)ds\\
&= \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b\bigg(\int_a^b\Big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\Big\}dW_t\bigg)ds\\
&= \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b\bigg(\int_a^b\Big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\Big\}ds\bigg)dW_t.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\big(\Delta(a,b)^2\big)\\
&= \frac{1}{(b-a)^2}\int_a^b E\bigg(\int_a^b\Big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\Big\}ds\bigg)^2 dt\\
&= \frac{1}{(b-a)^2}\int_a^b\int_a^b\int_a^b E\bigg(\big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_z\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}\times\\
&\qquad \big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_y\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}\bigg)dydzdt\\
&= E\bigg(\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\int_a^b\int_a^b \big\{E\big(({}^pDF)_t\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big) - E\big(({}^pDF)_s\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}^2 ds\, dt\bigg)\\
&\quad + E\bigg(\int_a^b \big\{({}^pDF)_t - E\big(({}^pDF)_t\big|\mathcal{F}_a\big)\big\}^2 dt\bigg). \qquad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $g \in L_2(\gamma)$, we have $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{H_n(x)}{\sqrt{n!}}$ with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^2 < \infty$. For F, we then have the chaos expansion

$$
F = \sum_{n\geq 0} I_n(f_n) = \sum_n c_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} H_n\big(W(\eta)\big)
$$

with $f_n(t_1,\dots,t_n)=\frac{c_n}{\sqrt{n!}}\eta(t_1)\eta(t_2)\dots\eta(t_n)$. With this normalization of the Hermite polynomials, and since $\| \eta \|_{L_2([0,T])}=1$, we have $H_n(W(\eta))=I_n(\eta^{\otimes n})$. From Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$E\big(\Delta(a,b)^2\big)=\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{nc_n^2}{2(b-a)}\bigg(\int_0^a\eta(t)^2dt\bigg)^{n-1}\int_a^b\int_a^b\big(\eta(t)-\eta(s)\big)^2dsdt+$$
$$\sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2\bigg\{\bigg(\int_0^b\eta(t)^2dt\bigg)^n-\bigg(\int_0^a\eta(t)^2dt\bigg)^n-n\bigg(\int_0^a\eta(t)^2dt\bigg)^{n-1}\bigg(\int_a^b\eta(t)^2dt\bigg)\bigg\}$$
$$=:A_{a,b}+B_{a,b}.$$

Since

$$\int_a^b\eta(t)^2dt=\frac{\beta}{T^\beta}\int_a^b(T-t)^{\beta-1}dt=\Big(1-\frac{a}{T}\Big)^\beta-\Big(1-\frac{b}{T}\Big)^\beta,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}B_{a,b} &= \sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2\bigg\{\bigg[1-\Big(1-\frac{b}{T}\Big)^\beta\bigg]^n-\bigg[1-\Big(1-\frac{a}{T}\Big)^\beta\bigg]^n\\ &\quad -n\bigg[\Big(1-\frac{a}{T}\Big)^\beta-\Big(1-\frac{b}{T}\Big)^\beta\bigg]\bigg[1-\Big(1-\frac{a}{T}\Big)^\beta\bigg]^{n-1}\bigg\}\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2\int_{1-\left(1-\frac{a}{T}\right)^\beta}^{1-\left(1-\frac{b}{T}\right)^\beta}\int_{1-\left(1-\frac{a}{T}\right)^\beta}^u n(n-1)s^{n-2}dsdu.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that when $\beta=1$, i.e. when η is constant, which means that $F=g(W_T)$, the term $A_{a,b}$ is zero and $B_{a,b}=\sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2\int_a^b\int_a^u n(n-1)s^{n-2}dsdu$. This case was treated in [3] and [8].
For simplicity, let us suppose that $T=1$. Assuming that
(2)
$$\sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2n(n-1)\int_0^1(1-s)^{1-\theta}s^{n-2}ds=\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{n!c_n^2}{(2-\theta)(3-\theta)\dots(n-\theta)}=C<\infty,$$

and using [8, Lemma 3.8] we achieve, for any time net $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^m$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}\int_{t_{i-1}}^u\sum_{n\geq 2}c_n^2n(n-1)s^{n-2}dsdu\leq C_1\sup_{1\leq i\leq m}\frac{t_i-t_{i-1}}{(1-t_{i-1})^{1-\theta}}$$

for some $C_1>0$ depending only on C and θ. Now we fix the time net $0=t_0^0<t_1^0<\ldots<t_{m-1}^0<t_m^0=1$ with $t_i^0:=\frac{i}{m}$. Using $t_i^\beta:=$

$1-(1-t_i)^\beta$ we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m B_{t_{i-1}^0,t_i^0} \le c_1 \sup_{1\le i\le n} \frac{t_i^\beta - t_{i-1}^\beta}{\left(1-t_{i-1}^\beta\right)^{1-\theta}} \le c_1 m^{-\beta\theta}.$$

Notice that condition (2) is equivalent to $g \in B^\theta_{2,2}(\gamma)$ ([8, Theorem 2.2 and proof of Theorem 3.2]; see also [10, Theorem 3.1] for another proof), and that the result so far coincides with the case treated in [8]. For $A_{a,b}$, we use (2) again in an equivalent form:

$$\sum_{n\ge 1} c_n^2 n^\theta < C_2 < \infty, \tag{3}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{a,b} &= \sum_{n\ge1} \frac{nc_n^2}{2(b-a)} \left(\int_0^a \eta(t)^2 dt\right)^{n-1} \int_a^b\int_a^b \big(\eta(t)-\eta(s)\big)^2 dsdt \\ &= \sum_{n\ge1} c_n^2 n^\theta n^{1-\theta} \left[1-(1-a)^\beta\right]^{n-1} \frac{\beta}{2}\frac{1}{b-a}\times \\ &\quad \int_a^b\int_a^b \left((1-u)^{(\beta-1)/2} - (1-v)^{(\beta-1)/2}\right)^2 dudv. \end{aligned}$$

By an elementary computation,

$$n^{1-\theta}\left[1-(1-a)^\beta\right]^{n-1} \le (1-a)^{\beta(\theta-1)}$$

for all n.
Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\beta}{2(b-a)} \int_a^b\int_a^b \left((1-u)^{(\beta-1)/2} - (1-v)^{(\beta-1)/2}\right)^2 dudv \\ &= \beta\int_a^b (1-u)^{\beta-1}du - \frac{\beta}{(b-a)}\int_a^b\int_a^b (1-u-v+uv)^{(\beta-1)/2} dudv \\ &= (1-a)^\beta - (1-b)^\beta - \frac{\beta}{(b-a)}\int_a^b \int_{(1-u)(1-a)}^{(1-u)(1-b)} z^{(\beta-1)/2}(1-u)^{-1} dvdu \\ &= (1-a)^\beta - (1-b)^\beta - \frac{\beta}{(b-a)}\int_a^b \frac{2}{\beta+1}(1-u)^{(\beta-1)/2}\times \\ &\qquad \big\{(1-a)^{(\beta+1)/2} - (1-b)^{(\beta+1)/2}\big\}du \\ &= (1-a)^\beta - (1-b)^\beta - \frac{\beta}{(b-a)}\frac{4}{(\beta+1)^2}\big\{(1-a)^{(\beta+1)/2} - (1-b)^{(\beta+1)/2}\big\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

When $0 \leq a < b = 1$ we obtain

$$(1-a)^{\beta}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2.$$

Otherwise $0 \leq a < b < 1$ and by the mid-value theorem we obtain

$$\beta(b-a)(\xi^{\beta-1} - \eta^{\beta-1}) \leq \beta(\beta-1)(1-b)^{\beta-2}(b-a)^2$$

for some values $\xi, \eta \in (1-b, 1-a)$.
By taking an equally spaced time grid $(t_k = k/N : \ k = 0, \ldots, N)$, we have that the sum of the mean square errors over the interval $[0,1]$ is bounded by

$$N^{-\beta}\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 + \beta(\beta-1)N^{-2}\sum_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)^{\beta-2}$$
$$\leq N^{-\beta}\left\{\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 + \beta(\beta-1)\zeta(2-\beta)\right\},$$

where $\zeta(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-t}$ is Riemann's zeta function.
Thus for $\beta < 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{t_{i-1},t_i} \leq C_2 m^{-\beta(\theta-1)} m^{-\beta}\left\{\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 + \beta(\beta-1)\zeta(2-\beta)\right\} = C_3 m^{-\beta\theta}.$$

□

5. Appendix

Here $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}^W$ is the augmented Brownian filtration and $\mathcal{P}$ is the $\mathbb{F}$-predictable σ-algebra on $(\Omega \times [0,T])$ generated by the left continuous $\mathbb{F}$-adapted processes (for the notation and concepts in this section, see [14]). Note that in the Brownian filtration, the $\mathbb{F}$-predictable and $\mathbb{F}$-optional σ-algebrae coincide. This is not so in general for the filtration generated by a Lévy process.
Let

$${}^{p}L_2(\Omega \times [0,T]) := L_2(\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{P}, dP \times dt)$$

the subspace of $\mathbb{F}$-predictable integrands $Y_t(\omega)$ with

$$E\left(\int_0^T Y_t^2 dt\right) < \infty.$$

Note that ${}^{p}L_2(\Omega \times [0,T])$ is a closed subspace of $L_2(\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{F}_T^W \otimes \mathcal{B}([0,T]), dP \times dt)$.
For any process $X_t(\omega) \in L_2(\Omega \times [0,T], \mathcal{F}_T^W \otimes \mathcal{B}([0,T]), dP \times dt)$, there exists the predictable projection ${}^{p}X \in {}^{p}L_2(\Omega \times [0,T])$ such that for

any $\mathbb{F}$-predictable stopping time $\tau(\omega)$ (notice that in the Brownian filtration all stopping times are predictable),

$$E(X_\tau|\mathcal{F}_{\tau-})(\omega)\mathbf{1}(\tau(\omega)<\infty) = {}^pX_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega)\mathbf{1}(\tau(\omega)<\infty),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} = \sigma\{A\cap\{t<\tau\} : t\geq 0, A\in\mathcal{F}_t\}.$$

By the Itô representation theorem, if $F(\omega)\in L_2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T^W,P)$, then there is an unique $Y_t(\omega)\in {}^pL_2(\Omega\times[0,T])$ such that

$$F = E(F) + \int_0^T Y_t dW(t),$$

where the stochastic integral is an Itô integral, and by the Itô isometry

$$E(F^2) = E(F)^2 + E\bigg(\int_0^T Y_t^2 dt\bigg).$$

We show that $Y_t = ({}^pDF)_t$, where

$${}^pD : L_2(\Omega)\to {}^pL_2(\Omega\times[0,T])$$

is the closure of the operator defined for $F\in D^{1,2}$ such that $F\mapsto {}^p(DF)$, and $\mathrm{Dom}({}^pD) = L_2(\Omega,\mathcal{F}_T^W,P)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For $F\in D^{1,2}$, define ${}^pDF = {}^p(DF)$. In other words, for any $\mathcal{F}$-predictable stopping time τ,*

$${}^pD_\tau F(\omega)\mathbf{1}(\tau(\omega)<\infty) = E(D_\tau F|\mathcal{F}_{\tau-})(\omega)\mathbf{1}(\tau(\omega)<\infty).$$

In particular, if $\tau(\omega)\equiv t$ is deterministic,

$${}^pD_t F(\omega) = E(D_t F|\mathcal{F}_{t-})(\omega) = E(D_t F|\mathcal{F}_t)(\omega).$$

The operator ${}^pD$ is closable.

Proof. Let $F_n\in D^{1,2}$ with $F_n \overset{L_2(\Omega)}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and ${}^pDF_n \overset{L_2(\Omega\times[0,T])}{\longrightarrow} Y$. Then necessarily $Y=0$.
This is immediate from the Itô isometry and Itô-Clarck-Ocone formula for $F_n\in D^{1,2}$:

$$F_n - E(F_n) = \int_0^T {}^p(DF_n)_t dW_t = \int_0^T E(D_t F_n|\mathcal{F}_t)dW_t,$$

and by the Itô isometry,

$$\begin{aligned} E\big(\big\{F_n - E(F_n)\big\}^2\big) &= E\bigg(\bigg\{\int_0^T E(D_t F_n|\mathcal{F}_t)dW_t\bigg\}^2\bigg)\\ &= \int_0^T E\big(\big\{{}^p(DF_n)_t\big\}^2\big)dt \end{aligned}$$

so that ${}^p(DF_n) \to 0$ in $L_2(\Omega \times [0,T])$ as $F_n \to 0$ in $L_2(\Omega)$. □

Let now $F \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T^W, P)$ with Itô representation

$$F = E(F) + \int_0^T Y_t dW_t,$$

where $Y \in {}^pL_2(\Omega \times [0,T])$, and let $F_n \in D^{1,2}$ with $F_n \overset{L_2(\Omega)}{\to} F$. Then by Itô isometry and Itô-Clarck-Ocone formula necessarily

$${}^pDF_n := {}^p(DF_n) \overset{L_2(\Omega \times [0,T])}{\longrightarrow} Y,$$

which means that the extension ${}^pDF = Y$ is well defined.

This gives a practical procedure to compute the martingale representation of $F \in L_2(\Omega)$: write formally DF using the chaos expansion or the differentiation rules of Malliavin calculus. In general DF does not need to be a random function, it may live in a space of random distributions. Nevertheless when we compute the predictable projection of the formal chaos expansion of DF, by evaluating $E(D_tF|\mathcal{F}_t)$, we always obtain a nice integrand in ${}^pL_2(\Omega \times [0,T])$.

References

[1] C. Bennet, R. Sharpley, Interpolation of Operators, Academic Press, 1988.

[2] M. Fukasawa, Discretization error of stochastic integrals, Ann. Appl. Probab. 21 (2011) 1436–1465.

[3] C. Geiss, S. Geiss, On approximation of a class of stochastic integrals and interpolation, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 76 (2004) 339–362.

[4] C. Geiss, S. Geiss, E. Gobet, Generalized fractional smoothness and L_p-variation of BSDEs with non-Lipschitz terminal condition. Stochastic Process. Appl. 122 (2012) 2078–2116.

[5] S. Geiss, Quantitative approximation of certain stochastic integrals, Stoch. Stoch. Rep. 73 (2002) 241–270.

[6] S. Geiss, Weighted BMO and discrete time hedging within the Black-Scholes model, Probab. Theory Related Fields 132 (2005) 39–73.

[7] S. Geiss, E. Gobet, Fractional smoothness and applications in Finance, in: G. Di Nunno, B. Oksendal (Eds), Advanced Mathematical Methods for Finance, Springer Verlag, 2011, pp. 313–331.

[8] S. Geiss, M. Hujo, Interpolation and approximation in $L_2(\gamma)$, J. Appr. Theory 144 (2007) 213–232.

[9] S. Geiss, A. Toivola, Weak convergence of error processes in discretizations of stochastic integrals and Besov spaces, Bernoulli 15(4) (2009) 925–954.

[10] S. Geiss, A. Toivola, On fractional smoothness and L_p-approximation on the Gaussian space, Ann. Probab. 43(2) (2015) 605–638.

[11] E. Gobet, E. Temam, Discrete time hedging errors for options with irregular pay-offs, Finance Stoch. 5(3) (2001) 357–367.

[12] T. Hayashi, P. Mykland, Evaluating hedging errors: an asymptotic approach, Math. Finance 15(2) (2005) 309–343.

[13] D. Nualart, The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics, second ed., Springer, 2006.
[14] D. Revuz, M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, second ed., Springer, 1991.
[15] A. Toivola, On fractional smoothness and approximations of stochastic integrals, Report 123, Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dept. Math. Stat, 2009.
[16] R. Zhang, Couverture approchée des options Européennes, PhD thesis, École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, Paris, 1998.

University of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

University of Jyväskylä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland